

Non-Perturbative Quark-Antiquark Production From a Constant Chromo-Electric Field via the Schwinger Mechanism

Gouranga C. Nayak^{1,*}

¹ *C. N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Abstract

We obtain an exact result for the non-perturbative quark (antiquark) production rate and its p_T distribution from a constant SU(3) chromo-electric field E^a with arbitrary color index a by directly evaluating the path integral. Unlike the WKB tunneling result, which depends only on one gauge invariant quantity $|E|$, the strength of the chromo-electric field, we find that the exact result for the p_T distribution for quark (antiquark) production rate depends on two independent Casimir (gauge) invariants, $E^a E^a$ and $[d_{abc} E^a E^b E^c]^2$.

PACS numbers: PACS: 11.15.-q, 11.15.Me, 12.38.Cy, 11.15.Tk

*Electronic address: nayak@insti.physics.sunysb.edu

Non-perturbative quark anti-quark pair production from a constant chromo-electric field is widely employed to study hadronization at low p_T in high energy e^+e^- and pp collisions [1]. In these approaches the color flux-tube energy density or the string tension is related to the constant chromo-electric field strength $|E|$. In high energy heavy-ion collisions at the RHIC and the LHC [2] a classical chromo field might be formed just after two nuclei pass through each other [3, 4]. In order to study the production of a quark-gluon plasma from a classical chromo field it is necessary to know how quarks and gluons are formed from the latter. In a recent paper [5] we have derived a formula for the rate for non-perturbative gluon pair production and its p_T distribution from a constant SU(3) chromo-electric field with arbitrary color index a via vacuum polarization. In this paper we will extend our study to quark-antiquark pair production.

We will not employ Schwinger's proper time method [6] in our calculation. Although this method is widely used to obtain the total fermion production rate dN/d^4x [6, 7] (for a review see [8]), this method cannot be used to obtain the p_T distribution of the rate $dN/d^4xd^2p_T$. For this purpose the WKB tunneling method [9, 10] has been used in the past to approximate the p_T distribution for the quark (antiquark) production rate. Although the WKB tunneling result for the p_T distribution is correct in QED it is not necessarily true in QCD because of the presence of the non-trivial color generators in the fundamental and adjoint representations of SU(3). For this reason we will directly evaluate the path integral in this paper and obtain an exact result for the p_T distribution of the non-perturbative quark (antiquark) production rate from a constant chromo-electric field with arbitrary color in the gauge group SU(3). We find that unlike the WKB tunneling result, which depends on one gauge invariant quantity $|E|$, the strength of the chromo-electric field, [9, 10] the exact result for the p_T distribution for the quark (antiquark) production rate depends on two independent gauge invariants, $E^a E^a$ and $[d_{abc}E^a E^b E^c]^2$.

We obtain the following formula for the number of non-perturbative quarks (antiquarks) produced per unit time, per unit volume and per unit transverse momentum from a given constant chromo-electric field E^a

$$\frac{dN_{q,\bar{q}}}{dt d^3x d^2p_T} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^3} \sum_{j=1}^3 |g\lambda_j| \ln[1 - e^{-\frac{\pi(p_T^2 + m^2)}{|g\lambda_j|}}], \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of the quark. This result is gauge invariant because it depends on the

following gauge invariant eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{3}} \cos \theta, \\ \lambda_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{3}} \cos (2\pi/3 - \theta), \\ \lambda_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{3}} \cos (2\pi/3 + \theta),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where θ is given by

$$\cos^2 3\theta = 3C_2/C_1^3.\tag{3}$$

These eigenvalues only depend on two independent Casimir invariants for SU(3)

$$C_1 = E^a E^a, \quad C_2 = [d_{abc} E^a E^b E^c]^2,\tag{4}$$

where $a, b, c = 1, \dots, 8$. Note that $0 \leq \cos^2 3\theta \leq 1$ because $C_1^3 \geq 3C_2$ and both C_1 and C_2 are positive. The integration over p_T in eq. (1) reproduces Schwinger's result for total production rate dN/d^4x [7].

The exact result in eq. (1) can be contrasted with the following formula obtained by the WKB tunneling method [9]

$$\frac{dN_{q,\bar{q}}}{dt d^3x d^2p_T} = \frac{-|gE|}{4\pi^3} \ln[1 - e^{-\frac{\pi(p_T^2 + m^2)}{|gE|}}].\tag{5}$$

In our result in eq. (1) the symmetric tensor d_{abc} appears. Hence the WKB tunneling method does not reproduce the correct result for the p_T distribution of the quark (antiquark) production rate from a constant chromo-electric field E^a . We now present a derivation of eq. (1).

The Lagrangian density for a quark in a non-abelian background field A_μ^a is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}^i [(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - g T_{ij}^a A^a) - m \delta_{ij}] \psi^j = \bar{\psi}^i M_{ij}[A] \psi^j,\tag{6}$$

where $\hat{p}_\mu$ is the momentum operator and T_{ij}^a is the generator in the fundamental representation of gauge group SU(3) with $a=1,2,\dots,8$ and $i, j = 1,2,3$. The vacuum to vacuum transition amplitude in the presence of the non-abelian background field A_μ^a is given by

$$\langle 0|0 \rangle = \frac{\int [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] e^{i \int d^4x \bar{\psi}^j M_{jk}[A] \psi^k}}{\int [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] e^{i \int d^4x \bar{\psi}^j M_{jk}[0] \psi^k}} = \text{Det}[M[A]]/\text{Det}[M[0]] = e^{iS^{(1)}}.\tag{7}$$

The one loop effective action becomes

$$S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = -i \text{Tr} \ln[(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - gT_{ij}^a A^a) - m\delta_{ij}] + i \text{Tr} \ln[\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - m\delta_{ij}]. \quad (8)$$

The trace Tr contains an integration over d^4x , a sum over color and Lorentz indices and a trace over Dirac matrices. Since the trace is invariant under transposition we also get

$$S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = -i \text{Tr} \ln[(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - gT_{ij}^a A^a) + m\delta_{ij}] + i \text{Tr} \ln[\delta_{ij} \hat{p} + m\delta_{ij}]. \quad (9)$$

Adding both the above equations we get

$$2S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = -i \text{Tr} \ln[(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - gT_{ij}^a A^a)^2 - m^2\delta_{ij}] + i \text{Tr} \ln[\delta_{ij} \hat{p}^2 - m^2\delta_{ij}], \quad (10)$$

which can be written as

$$2S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = -i \text{Tr} \ln[(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - gT_{ij}^a A^a)^2 + \frac{g}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T_{ij}^a F^{a\mu\nu} - m^2\delta_{ij}] + i \text{Tr} \ln[\delta_{ij} \hat{p}^2 - m^2\delta_{ij}]. \quad (11)$$

Since it is convenient to work with the trace of the exponential we replace the logarithm by

$$\ln \frac{a}{b} = \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} [e^{is(b+i\epsilon)} - e^{is(a+i\epsilon)}]. \quad (12)$$

We assume that the constant electric field is along the z-axis (the beam direction) and we choose the gauge $A_0^a = 0$ so that $A_3^a = -E^a x^0$ [5]. The color indices (a=1,...,8) are arbitrary. Since $\Lambda_{ij} = T_{ij}^a E^a$ has three eigenvalues we write after diagonalization

$$(\Lambda_d)_{ij} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3). \quad (13)$$

The trace over the Dirac matrices (tr_D) give

$$\text{tr}_D [e^{is \frac{g}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T_{ij}^a F^{a\mu\nu}}] = 4 \cosh(sg T_{ij}^a E^a). \quad (14)$$

To reduce this problem to the motion of one harmonic oscillator, we make a similarity transformation [5, 11] (we also make a similarity transformation in the group space) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_D e^{is[(\delta_{ij} \hat{p} - gT_{ij}^a A^a)^2 + \frac{g}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T_{ij}^a F^{a\mu\nu} - m^2\delta_{ij}]} = \\ 4 \cosh(sg(\Lambda_d)_{il}) [e^{ip^3 p_0/g(\Lambda_d)} e^{is(\hat{p}_0^2 - \hat{p}_T^2 - m^2 - g^2(\Lambda_d^2)x^0{}^2)} e^{-ip^3 p_0/g(\Lambda_d)}]_{lj}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $p_T = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}$ is the transverse momentum of the quark or antiquark (transverse to the electric field direction). Hence from eqs. (11) and (12) we find

$$2S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = i \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{j=1}^3 \text{tr} \left[e^{ip^3 p_0/g\lambda_j} e^{is(\hat{p}_0^2 - \hat{p}_T^2 - m^2 - g^2 \lambda_j^2 \hat{x}_0^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{-ip^3 p_0/g\lambda_j} \right. \\ \left. \times [4 \cosh sg\lambda_j] - 4e^{is(\hat{p}_0^2 - \hat{p}_T^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \right]. \quad (16)$$

The trace tr denotes an integral over a complete set of x eigenstates. We add complete sets of p_j eigenstates, and obtain

$$2S_{q\bar{q}}^{(1)} = i \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int d^4x \int d^2p_T e^{-is(p_T^2 + m^2) - s\epsilon} \left[|g\lambda_j| \frac{\cosh sg\lambda_j}{\sinh s|g\lambda_j|} - \frac{1}{s} \right]. \quad (17)$$

The s -integral at fixed p_T is convergent at $s \rightarrow 0$, but the integration over p_T yields an extra factor $1/s$ so now it seems divergent. However charge renormalization cures this ultraviolet problem by subtracting also the term linear in s in the expansion of $\cosh sg\lambda_j/\sinh s|g\lambda_j|$. The integral is well behaved as $s \rightarrow \infty$. To perform the s contour integration we use the well-known expansion

$$\frac{1}{\sinh x} = \frac{1}{x} + 2x \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{x^2 + n^2\pi^2}, \quad (18)$$

and then we formally replace s by $-is$ (as first advocated by Schwinger in QED). The integral is now real, except for half-circles around the poles at $s|g\lambda_j| = -in\pi$ for $n=1,2,3\dots$. The $1/x$ term in (18) cancels against the $1/s$ term in (17). This yields the probability for quark (antiquark) production per unit time and per unit volume

$$W_{q\bar{q}} = 2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int d^2p_T \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{|g\lambda_j|}{n} e^{[-\frac{n\pi(p_T^2 + m^2)}{|g\lambda_j|}]}. \quad (19)$$

Now all that is left is to determine the eigenvalues λ_j ($j = 1,2,3$) of the matrix $\Lambda_{ij} = T_{ij}^a E^a$ in the fundamental representation of the gauge group $\text{SU}(3)$. Evaluating the traces of Λ_{ij} , Λ_{ij}^2 and the determinant of Λ_{ij} we find

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = \frac{1}{2} E^a E^a, \quad (21)$$

and

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{12} [d_{abc} E^a E^b E^c], \quad (22)$$

the solution of which is given by eq. (2).

In this letter we have obtained an exact result for the rate for non-perturbative quark (antiquark) production and its p_T distribution in a constant chromo-electric field E^a with arbitrary color index a via vacuum polarization. We have used the background field method of QCD with the gauge group SU(3). The p_T distribution for quark (antiquark) production can be applied at the RHIC and the LHC colliders. We find that, unlike the WKB tunneling method, the p_T distribution of the quark or antiquark production rate depends on two independent Casimir (gauge) invariants, $E^a E^a$ and $[d_{abc} E^a E^b E^c]^2$.

Acknowledgments

I thank Peter van Nieuwenhuizen for discussions and useful suggestions during the completion of this work and Jack Smith for a careful reading of the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, grants PHY-0071027, PHY-0098527, PHY-0354776 and PHY-0345822.

-
- [1] T. Sjostrand, Comp. Phys. Commun. 82 (1994) 74.
 - [2] L. McLerran and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A750 (2005) 30.
 - [3] G. Baym, Phys. Lett. B138 (1984) 18; K. Kajantie and T. Matsui, Phys. Lett. B164 (1985) 373; K. J. Eskola and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. C47 (1996) 2329; G. C. Nayak and V. Ravishankar, Phys. Rev. D55 (1996) 6877; Phys. Rev. C58 (1998) 356; R. S. Bhalerao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. C61 (2000) 054907; G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B442 (1998) 427; JHEP 9802:005 (1998); Y. Kluger, J. M. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 2427; Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) 4659; F. Cooper, E. Mottola and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B555 (2003) 181; D. Kharzeev and K. Tuchin, Nucl. Phys. A753 (2005) 316.
 - [4] L. McLerran and R. Venugopalan Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2225; M. Gyulassy and L. McLerran, Phys. Rev. C56 (1997) 2219; G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, hep-th/0001009; D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074006; hep-ph/0009178; J. Phys. G28 (1002) 2001; G. C. Nayak, D. Dietrich and W. Greiner, 0104030; D. Dietrich, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 105005; Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 105009; hep-ph/0408343; hep-ph/0411245.

- [5] G. C. Nayak and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 125001, hep-ph/0504070.
- [6] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.
- [7] M. Claudson, A. Yildiz and P. H. Cox, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 2022.
- [8] G. V. Dunne, *Heisenberg-Euler effective Lagrangians: Basics and extensions*, hep-th/0406216, to appear in the Memorial Volume for Ian Kogan.
- [9] A. Casher, H. Neuberger and S. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) 179.
- [10] N. K. Glendenning and T. Matsui, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983) 2890.
- [11] C. Itzykson and J-B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, Mc-Graw Hill (1980) page 193; L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B234 (1984) 234; P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory: Cancellation of Anomalies in d=10 Supergravity*, Lecture Notes in Mathematical and Theoretical Physics, Vol 3, Leuven University Press (1988), page 46.